SMALLEST TOTIENT IN A RESIDUE CLASS

ABHISHEK JHA

ABSTRACT. We obtain a totient analogue for Linnik's theorem in arithmetic progressions. Specifically, for any coprime pair of positive integers (m, a) such that m is odd, there exists $n \leq m^{2+o(1)}$ such that $\varphi(n) \equiv a \pmod{m}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\varphi(n)$ be the Euler function of n. A totient is defined as an integer that appears as a value of $\varphi(n)$. The literature is rich with results exploring the distribution of totients in residue classes. Dence and Pomerance [2] established that if a congruence class $a \pmod{m}$ contains at least one multiple of 4, it contains infinitely many totients. Later, Ford, Konyagin, and Pomerance [3] showed that almost all even integers which are $2 \pmod{4}$ lie in a residue class that is totient-free.

Let m and a be two coprime positive integers such that m is odd. Let N(a, m) denote the smallest positive integer n for which $\varphi(n) \equiv a \pmod{m}$. The problem of upper-bound estimates for N(a, m)has garnered significant attention in recent years. These results are closely related to the renowned Linnik problem of bounding the least prime P(a, m) in the arithmetic progression $a \pmod{m}$. By a result of Xylouris [13], we know that $P(a, m) \ll m^{5.18}$ when gcd(a, m) = 1. Consequently, this implies that $N(a, m) \ll m^{5.18}$ whenever gcd(a + 1, m) = 1. Under Generalised Riemann Hypothesis, Lamzouri, Li, and Soundararajan have shown in [10, Corollary 1.2] that $P(a, m) \le (\varphi(m) \log m)^2$. This leads to the bound $N(a, m) \ll m^{2+\epsilon}$ assuming that gcd(a + 1, m) = 1.

It has been shown that with simpler methods, better unconditional upper bounds for N(a, m) can be achieved. The first result in this direction was by Friedlander and Shparlinski [5] (See also [6]) who had proven that if m is a prime number, then $N(a,m) \ll_{\epsilon} m^{2.5+\epsilon}$, a result later refined by Garaev [7] to $N(a,m) \ll_{\epsilon} m^{2+\epsilon}$. In the case when m is composite, Friedlander and Shparlinski established that for some $A = A(\epsilon) > 0$ if gcd(a,m) = 1 and if m has no prime divisors $p < (\log m)^{A(\epsilon)}$, then $N(a,m) \ll_{\epsilon} m^{3+\epsilon}$. This was ultimately improved by Cilleruelo and Garaev [1] to $N(a,m) \ll_{\epsilon} m^{2+\epsilon}$ for the same set of positive integers m.

The goal of the present paper is to obtain the same upper bound uniformly for all odd positive integers m. Note that when m is even, then there exists a totient in reduced residue class $a \pmod{m}$ if and only if $a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Moreover, there is only one totient $\varphi(1) = 1$ in such a residue class.

Theorem 1.1. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and for all odd positive integers m and integer a with gcd(a, m) = 1, we have the bound

$$N(a,m) \ll_{\epsilon} m^{2+\epsilon}.$$

The restriction that gcd(a, m) = 1 is crucial, as Friedlander and Luca [4] have shown that there exists a sequence of arithmetic progressions $a_k \pmod{m_k}$ with $m_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ and $gcd(a_k, m_k) > 1$ such that $N(a_k, m_k)$ exists and

$$\frac{\log N(a_k, m_k)}{\log m_k} \to \infty \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

The main arithmetic input in our work is obtaining asymptotic formulas for character sums over shifted primes where the characters have small conductors. Combining these estimates with the ideas of Cillerurlo and Garaev [1], we are able to get a uniform upper bound for N(a, m).

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11B50, 11L40; Secondary: 11N64.

1.1. Notations. When there is no danger of confusion, we write (a, b) instead of gcd(a, b). We write $\tau(n)$ as the number of divisors of n. Throughout this paper, the letter p will always denote a prime. We set $f(\chi)$ as the conductor of a Dirichlet character $\chi \pmod{m}$. Let Li(x) denote the logarithmic integral, that is,

$$\operatorname{Li}(x) = \int_2^x \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\log t}.$$

We employ the Landau–Bachmann "Big Oh" and "little oh" notations \mathcal{O} and o, as well as the associated Vinogradov symbols \ll and \gg , with their usual meanings. Any dependence of the implied constants is indicated with subscripts.

1.2. Acknowledgements. The author thanks Kevin Ford for suggesting this problem, for helpful discussions, and for careful reading of the manuscript. The author is grateful to Ayan Nath and the anonymous referee for helpful comments on earlier versions of the manuscript.

2. Setup

In what follows, $\mathbb{1}_{a,m}$ denotes the indicator function that $3 \mid m$ and $a \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. We look for a solution of the congruence in question in the form $n = 4^{\mathbb{1}_{a,m}} p_1 p_2 p_3$, where p_j are prime numbers that run through certain disjoint intervals.

Let $k \ge 10$ be a fixed positive integer. Let I_1, I_2, I_3 be sets of primes defined as follows:

$$I_1 = \{p : 0.5m^{1+1/k}

$$I_2 = \{p : 0.5m

$$I_3 = \{p : 0.5m^{1/k}$$$$$$

The sets I_1, I_2, I_3 are pairwise disjoint for any sufficiently large integer m. The following lemma tells us about the cardinality of these sets.

Lemma 2.1. We have for $m \ge 1$ and any $C \ge 2$,

$$|I_j| = [\operatorname{Li}(f_j(m)) - \operatorname{Li}(0.5 f_j(m))] \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p-1}\right) + \mathcal{O}_C(f_j(m) \log^{-C} m),$$

where $f_1(m)$, $f_2(m)$ and $f_3(m)$ are equal to $m^{1+1/k}$, m and $m^{1/k}$ respectively.

Proof. See [5, Lemma 4].

We will prove that if m is a large integer such that (a, m) = 1, then the congruence

(2.1)
$$\varphi(4^{\mathbb{I}_{a,m}}p_1p_2p_3) = (1+\mathbb{I}_{a,m})(p_1-1)(p_2-1)(p_3-1) \equiv a \pmod{m}, \quad p_j \in I_j, \ j=1,2,3$$

has solutions. The number J of solutions of this congruence is equal to

(2.2)
$$J = \frac{1}{\varphi(m)} \sum_{\chi} \sum_{p_1, p_2, p_3} \chi((p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p_3 - 1))\overline{\chi}(a)\chi(1 + \mathbb{1}_{a,m})$$

where χ runs through all Dirichlet characters modulo m and the primes p_1, p_2, p_3 run through the sets I_1, I_2, I_3 respectively. If $3 \mid m$, let ψ denote the unique character (mod m) induced by the nontrivial character (mod 3). Denote $\mathbb{1}_{3|m}$ as the indicator function that 3 divides m. Thus

(2.3)
$$J = \frac{|I_1||I_2||I_3|}{\varphi(m)} + \frac{\mathbb{1}_{3|m}S_1(\psi)S_2(\psi)S_3(\psi)\psi(a)\psi(1+\mathbb{1}_{a,m})}{\varphi(m)}$$

(2.4)
$$\qquad \qquad + \frac{\theta}{\varphi(m)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0, \psi} |S_1(\chi)| |S_2(\chi)| |S_3(\chi)|, \quad |\theta| \le 1,$$

where

$$S_j(\chi) = \sum_{p \in I_j} \chi(p-1), \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

We notice that

$$\mathbb{1}_{3|m} S_1(\psi) S_2(\psi) S_3(\psi) \overline{\psi}(a) \psi(1 + \mathbb{1}_{a,m}) = \begin{cases} |I_1| |I_2| |I_3| & \text{if } 3 \mid m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Henceforth, it follows that

$$J = \frac{(1+\mathbb{1}_{3|m})|I_1||I_2||I_3|}{\varphi(m)} + \frac{\theta}{\varphi(m)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0, \psi} |S_1(\chi)||S_2(\chi)||S_3(\chi)|, \quad |\theta| \le 1.$$

To prove that J > 0, it is enough to prove that

$$S = \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0, \psi} |S_1(\chi)| |S_2(\chi)| |S_3(\chi)| < |I_1| |I_2| |I_3|.$$

Let $A = 4(k+3)^2$. We split the left sum into two subsums as follows:

(2.5)
$$\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0, \psi} |S_1(\chi)| |S_2(\chi)| |S_3(\chi)| = S_{f(\chi) \le \log^A m} + S_{f(\chi) > \log^A m},$$

where the first sum is taken over characters with small conductors. We deal with the sums separately in upcoming sections.

3. SUM INVOLVING CHARACTERS OF SMALL CONDUCTOR

To evaluate $S_{f(\chi) \leq \log^A m}$, the first step is to estimate $S_j(\chi)$. Let χ_d be the primitive character mod d inducing χ and $\chi_{0,d}$ be the trivial character (mod d). We take m = dr. Denote $(r, d)_{\infty} := r/\prod_{p \mid (d,r)} p^{\nu_p(r)}$ where $\nu_p(r)$ is the largest exponent such that $p^{\nu_p(r)}$ divides r. Then

(3.1)
$$S_j(\chi) = \sum_{p \in I_j} \chi(p-1) = \sum_{v \pmod{d}} \chi_{0,d}(v) \, \chi_d(v-1) \sum_{\substack{p \in I_j \\ p \equiv v \pmod{d} \\ (p-1,(r,d)_\infty) = 1}} 1$$

We detect the coprimality condition in the inner sum using Möbius function, obtaining

$$\sum_{\substack{p \in I_j \\ p \equiv v \pmod{d} \\ (p-1,(r,d)_{\infty}) = 1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{p \in I_j \\ p \equiv v \pmod{d} \\ c \mid (r,d)_{\infty}}} \sum_{\substack{c \mid p-1 \\ p \equiv v \pmod{d} \\ c \mid (r,d)_{\infty}}} \mu(c) = \sum_{\substack{c \mid (r,d)_{\infty} \\ p \equiv v \pmod{d} \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{c}}} \mu(c) \Delta_j(m, cd, v_c),$$

where v_c is the unique positive integer such that

$$(v_c \operatorname{mod} dc) = (v \operatorname{mod} d) \cap (1 \operatorname{mod} c),$$

and

$$\Delta_j(m, cd, v_c) = \pi(f_j(m); dc, v_c) - \pi(0.5f_j(m); dc, v_c).$$

By the trivial bound, $\pi(x; dc, v_c) \leq x/dc$, we get that

$$\sum_{\substack{c \mid (r,d)_{\infty} \\ c \ge f_j(m)^{1/3}/d}} \mu(c) \Delta_j(m, dc, v_c) \ll f_j(m)^{2/3} \tau(m).$$

For the remaining sum, we have

(3.2)
$$\sum_{\substack{c \mid (r,d)_{\infty} \\ c < f_j(m)^{1/3}/d}} \mu(c)\Delta_j(m,dc,v_c) = \frac{\operatorname{Li}(f_j(m)) - \operatorname{Li}(0.5\,f_j(m))}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{\substack{c \mid (r,d)_{\infty} \\ c < f_j(m)^{1/3}/d}} \frac{\mu(c)}{\varphi(c)} + \mathcal{O}(R(m)),$$

where the remainder term is given by

$$R(m) = \sum_{\substack{c \mid (r,d)_{\infty} \\ c < f_j(m)^{1/3}/d}} \left| \Delta_j(m; cd, v_c) - \frac{\operatorname{Li}(f_j(m)) - \operatorname{Li}(0.5 f_j(m))}{\varphi(cd)} \right| \ll_B f_j(m) \log^{-B} m$$

by the Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem for any constant B (see, e.g., [9, Theorem 18.9]). Finally, for the main term in (3.2), we have

$$\sum_{\substack{c \mid (r,d)_{\infty} \\ c < f_{j}(m)^{1/3}/d}} \frac{\mu(c)}{\varphi(c)} = \prod_{p \mid (r,d)_{\infty}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p-1}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{d\tau(m)\log\log m}{f_{j}(m)^{1/3}}\right).$$

We denote ρ_{χ_d} as

$$\rho_{\chi_d} = \frac{\sum_{v \pmod{d}} \chi_{0,d}(v) \, \chi_d(v-1)}{\varphi(d)}$$

Putting everything in (3.1), we get

$$S_j(\chi) = \sum_{v \pmod{d}} \chi_{0,d}(v) \chi_d(v-1) \left(\frac{\operatorname{Li}(f_j(m)) - \operatorname{Li}(0.5 f_j(m))}{\varphi(d)} \prod_{p \mid (r,d)_{\infty}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p-1} \right) + \mathcal{O}_B\left(\frac{f_j(m)}{\log^B m} \right) \right)$$

Note that the first term inside brackets is much larger than the second term since d is at most a fixed power of $\log x$. This in turn results in the following asymptotic

(3.3)
$$S_{1}(\chi) = |I_{1}| \prod_{p \mid (r,d)_{\infty}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \mid m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{-1} \rho_{\chi_{d}} + \mathcal{O}_{B}\left(\frac{m^{1+1/k}}{\log^{B-A}m}\right)$$

(3.4)
$$= |I_{1}| \prod_{p \mid d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-2}\right) \rho_{\chi_{d}} + \mathcal{O}_{B}\left(\frac{m^{1+1/k}}{\log^{B-A}m}\right),$$

by Lemma 2.1. Similarly, we can obtain

(3.5)
$$S_2(\chi) = |I_2| \prod_{p|d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-2} \right) \rho_{\chi_d} + \mathcal{O}_B\left(\frac{m}{\log^{B-A} m} \right),$$

and

(3.6)
$$S_3(\chi) = |I_3| \cdot \prod_{p|d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-2}\right) \rho_{\chi_d} + \mathcal{O}_B\left(\frac{m^{1/k}}{\log^{B-A} m}\right).$$

Our next goal is to evaluate the constant ρ_{χ_d} . We will follow ideas in [11, pp.11-12] to obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let χ_d be a primitive Dirichlet character (mod d) for some odd positive integer d > 1. Then,

$$\rho_{\chi_d} = \mu(d)\chi_d(-1)\prod_{p|d} (p-1)^{-1}.$$

Proof. We can write χ_d uniquely in the form $\prod_{p^{\alpha}||d} \chi_{p^{\alpha}}$ where $\chi_{p^{\alpha}}$ is a primitive Dirichlet character $\mod p^{\alpha}$. Therefore, $\rho_{\chi_d} = \prod_{p^{\alpha}||d} \rho_{\chi_{p^{\alpha}}}$, where for each prime power $p^{\alpha}||d$, we have

(3.7)
$$\varphi(p^{\alpha})\rho_{\chi_{p^{\alpha}}} = \sum_{v \pmod{p^{\alpha}}} \chi_{0,p^{\alpha}}(v)\chi_{p^{\alpha}}(v-1) = \sum_{\substack{v \pmod{p^{\alpha}}\\(v,p)=1}} \chi_{p^{\alpha}}(v-1)$$

(3.8)
$$= \sum_{\substack{v \pmod{p^{\alpha}}}} \chi_{p^{\alpha}}(v) - \sum_{\substack{v \pmod{p^{\alpha}}\\v \equiv -1 \pmod{p}}} \chi_{p^{\alpha}}(v).$$

LEAST TOTIENTS

The first sum in (3.8) is just zero. To evaluate the second sum, consider a primitive root $g \pmod{p^{\alpha}}$ which exists since p is odd. We can observe that the residues $v \pmod{p^{\alpha}}$ such that $v \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ are a permutation of the residues $\{g^{(p-1)k} \pmod{p^{\alpha}} : 0 \le k < p^{\alpha-1}\}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\substack{v \pmod{p^{\alpha}}\\v\equiv -1 \pmod{p}}} \chi_{p^{\alpha}}(v) = \chi_{p^{\alpha}}(-1) \sum_{\substack{v \pmod{p^{\alpha}}\\v\equiv 1 \pmod{p}}} \chi_{p^{\alpha}}(v) = \chi_{p^{\alpha}}(-1) \sum_{\substack{0 \le k < p^{\alpha-1}\\v\equiv 1 \pmod{p}}} \chi_{p^{\alpha}}(g^{p-1})^k$$
$$= \chi_{p^{\alpha}}(-1)\mathbb{1}_{(\chi_{p^{\alpha}})^{p-1}=\chi_{0,p^{\alpha}}} p^{\alpha-1} = \chi_{p^{\alpha}}(-1)\mathbb{1}_{f(\chi_{p^{\alpha}})|p} p^{\alpha-1}.$$

Finally, we obtain that

 $\rho_{\chi_{p^{\alpha}}} = -\chi_{p^{\alpha}}(-1)\mathbb{1}_{f(\chi_{p^{\alpha}})|p}(p-1)^{-1},$

for each prime power $p^{\alpha} || d$. Multiplying these relations over all prime powers, we obtain

$$\rho_{\chi_d} = \prod_{p^{\alpha} \mid \mid d} \rho_{\chi_{p^{\alpha}}} = \mathbb{1}_{\mu^2(d)=1} \prod_{p^{\alpha} \mid \mid d} -\chi_{p^{\alpha}}(-1)(p-1)^{-1}$$
$$= \mu(d)\chi_d(-1) \prod_{p \mid d} (p-1)^{-1},$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

With this lemma in hand, we can handle our main sum. We get that

(3.9)
$$S_{f(\chi) \le \log^A m} = \sum_{\substack{d \le \log^A m \\ d \mid m}} \sum_{\substack{\chi \ne \chi_0, \psi \\ f(\chi) = d}} |S_1(\chi)| |S_2(\chi)| |S_3(\chi)|.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and (3.4) - (3.6), the terms with non-squarefree d are negligible. Also, we can observe that $d \ge 5$ is equivalent to the fact that $\chi \ne \chi_0, \psi$. Thus, by (3.4) - (3.6), we have

$$S_{f(\chi) \le \log^{A} m} = \sum_{\substack{5 \le d \le \log^{A} m \\ \mu^{2}(d)=1, d \mid m}} \sum_{f(\chi)=d} |S_{1}(\chi)| |S_{2}(\chi)| |S_{3}(\chi)| + \mathcal{O}_{B}\left(\frac{m^{2+2/k}}{\log^{B} m}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{5 \le d \le \log^{A} m \\ \mu^{2}(d)=1, d \mid m}} \sum_{f(\chi)=d} |I_{1}| |I_{2}| |I_{3}| \prod_{p \mid d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-2}\right)^{3} (|\rho_{\chi_{d}}|)^{3} + \mathcal{O}_{B}\left(\frac{m^{2+2/k}}{\log^{B-A} m}\right)$$
$$= |I_{1}| |I_{2}| |I_{3}| \sum_{\substack{5 \le d \le \log^{A} m \\ \mu^{2}(d)=1, d \mid m}} \sum_{f(\chi)=d} \prod_{p \mid d} (p-2)^{-3} + \mathcal{O}_{B}\left(\frac{m^{2+2/k}}{\log^{B-A} m}\right)$$

We know that for a square-free integer d, there are $\prod_{p|d} (p-2)$ primitive characters (mod d). We get

(3.10)
$$S_{f(\chi) \le \log^{A} m} \le |I_{1}| |I_{2}| |I_{3}| \sum_{\substack{d=5, \, 2 \nmid d \\ \mu^{2}(d)=1}}^{\infty} \prod_{p \mid d} (p-2)^{-2} + \mathcal{O}_{B}\left(\frac{m^{2+2/k}}{\log^{B-A} m}\right),$$

where the main term can be bounded using

$$\sum_{\substack{d=5, 2 \nmid d \\ \mu^2(d)=1}}^{\infty} \prod_{p \mid d} (p-2)^{-2} = \prod_{p \ge 3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-2)^2} \right) - 2 \le 2 \exp\left(\sum_{p \ge 5} \frac{1}{(p-2)^2}\right) - 2$$
$$\le 2 \exp\left(\sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2}\right) - 2$$
$$\le 2 \exp\left(\pi^2/8 - 1\right) - 2 = 0.526 \dots$$

Finally, we get that

(3.11)
$$S_{f(\chi) \le \log^A m} \le (0.53 + o(1))|I_1||I_2||I_3|$$

once we choose B = A + 4.

4. SUM INVOLVING CHARACTERS OF LARGE CONDUCTOR AND FINAL STEPS

To estimate $S_{f(\chi) > \log^{4} m}$, we essentially follow the approach in [1]. We will use the lemma below. Lemma 4.1. If $\chi \neq \chi_{0}$ such that $f(\chi) > \log^{4(k+3)^{2}} m$, then

$$S_1(\chi) \ll \frac{|I_1| \log \log m}{\log^{k^2 + 6k + 3} m}$$

Proof. By Rakhmonov's estimate [12, Theorem 1], we know that for any nontrivial character $\chi \pmod{m}$,

$$\left|\sum_{p \le x} \chi(p-1)\right| \le x (\log x)^5 \tau(q) \left(\sqrt{1/q + q\tau^2(q_1)/x} + x^{-1/6} \tau(q_1)\right)$$

where $q=\mathbf{f}(\chi)$ and $q_1=\prod_{p\mid m,p\nmid q} p.$ We know that

$$|S_1(\chi)| \le \left| \sum_{p \le m^{1+1/k}} \chi(p-1) \right| + \left| \sum_{p \le 0.5m^{1+1/k}} \chi(p-1) \right|.$$

For $x = m^{1+1/k}$ or $x = 0.5m^{1+1/k}$, this gives

$$\begin{split} \sum_{p \leq x} \chi(p-l) \ll m^{1+1/k} (\log m)^5 \tau(q) / \sqrt{q} \\ &+ m^{1/2 + 1/(2k)} (\log m)^5 q^{1/2} \tau(q_1) \tau(q) \\ &+ m^{(1+1/k)5/6} (\log m)^5 \tau(q_1) \tau(q). \end{split}$$

Since $q \le m, k \ge 2$ and $\tau(q_1)\tau(q) \le \tau(m) \ll m^{1/(4k)}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{p \le x} \chi(p-l) \ll m^{1+1/k} (\log m)^5 \tau(q) / \sqrt{q} + m^{1+3/(4k)} (\log m)^5 .$$

We know that $q > \log^{4(k+3)^2} m$. Therefore, we get

$$\sum_{p \le x} \chi(p-l) \ll m^{1+1/k} (\log m)^{5-(k+3)^2} + m^{1+3/(4k)} (\log m)^5$$
$$\ll \frac{m}{\varphi(m)} (\log m)^{6-(k+3)^2} |I_1|.$$

Finally, we use the known estimate $\varphi(m) \gg m/\log \log m$.

Remark 4.1. Kerr [8, Theorem 1] has proven that for a primitive Dirichlet character $\chi \pmod{q}$ and integer a coprime with q,

$$\left| \sum_{n \le N} \Lambda(n) \chi(n+a) \right| \le q^{1/9 + o(1)} N^{23/27}$$

for $N \ge q$ where $\Lambda(n)$ is the von-Mangoldt function. This result is stronger than the previous Rakhmonov estimate for $N > q^{3/4+\epsilon}$. However, as pointed out in [1, Section 4], it does not affect the strength of our results.

The next lemma gives an upper bound on sums involving $S_i(\chi)$.

Lemma 4.2. The following bounds hold:

$$\sum_{\chi} |S_j(\chi)|^2 \ll |I_j|^2 \log m, \ j = 1, 2,$$
$$\sum_{\chi} |S_3(\chi)|^{2k} \ll m^2 \log^{k^2 - 1} m.$$

Proof. The proof follows exactly as shown in [1, Lemma 2.2].

Employing the Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 along with Hölder's inequality, we have

$$S_{f(\chi) > \log^{A} m} \ll \left(\max_{f(\chi) > \log^{A} m} |S_{1}(\chi)| \right)^{1/k} \sum_{f(\chi) > \log^{A} m} |S_{1}(\chi)|^{1-1/k} |S_{2}(\chi)| |S_{3}(\chi)|$$
$$\ll \frac{|I_{1}|^{1/k}}{\log^{k+6} m} g_{1}(k) g_{2}(k) g_{3}(k).$$

Here, the sum $g_i(k)$ is defined as following:

$$g_1(k) = \left(\sum_{\chi} |S_1(\chi)|^2\right)^{1/2 - 1/(2k)} \ll |I_1|^{1 - 1/k} (\log m)^{1/2}$$
$$g_2(k) = \left(\sum_{\chi} |S_2(\chi)|^2\right)^{1/2} \ll |I_2| (\log m)^{1/2},$$
$$g_3(k) = \left(\sum_{\chi} |S_1(\chi)|^{2k}\right)^{1/2k} \ll m^{1/k} (\log m)^{k/2}.$$

Thus, we get that

(4.1)
$$S_{f(\chi) > \log^{A} m} \ll \frac{|I_{1}||I_{2}|m^{1/k}}{\log^{k/2+5} m} \ll \frac{|I_{1}||I_{2}||I_{3}|}{\log^{k/2+2} m}$$

Combining the estimates (2.5), (3.11) and (4.1), we can see that

$$\begin{split} S &= \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0, \psi} |S_1(\chi)| |S_2(\chi)| |S_3(\chi)| < (0.53 + o(1)) |I_1| |I_2| |I_3| + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|I_1| |I_2| |I_3|}{\log^{k/2 + 2} m}\right) \\ &< |I_1| |I_2| |I_3| \end{split}$$

for large *m*.

REFERENCES

- [1] Javier Cilleruelo and Moubariz Z. Garaev, *Least totients in arithmetic progressions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 9, 2913–2919.
- [2] Thomas Dence and Carl Pomerance, Euler's function in residue classes, Ramanujan J. 2 (1998), no. 1-2, 7-20.
- [3] Kevin Ford, Sergei Konyagin, and Carl Pomerance, *Residue classes free of values of Euler's function*, Number theory in progress, Vol. 2 (Zakopane-Kościelisko, 1997), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999, pp. 805–812.
- [4] John B. Friedlander and Florian Luca, *Residue classes having tardy totients*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 40 (2008), no. 6, 1007–1016.
- [5] John B. Friedlander and Igor E. Shparlinski, *Least totient in a residue class*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **39** (2007), no. 3, 425–432.
- [6] John B. Friedlander and Igor E. Shparlinski, *Corrigendum: "Least totient in a residue class" [Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **39** (2007), no. 3, 425–432], Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **40** (2008), no. 3, 532.
- [7] Moubariz Z. Garaev, A note on the least totient of a residue class, Q. J. Math. 60 (2009), no. 1, 53–56.
- [8] Bryce Kerr, Bounds of multiplicative character sums over shifted primes, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 314 (2021), 71-96.
- [9] Dimitris Koukoulopoulos, *The distribution of prime numbers*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 203, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, [2019] ©2019.
- [10] Youness Lamzouri, Xiannan Li, and Kannan Soundararajan, *Conditional bounds for the least quadratic non-residue and related problems*, Math. Comp. **84** (2015), no. 295, 2391–2412.
- [11] Paul Pollack and Akash Singha Roy, *Mean values of multiplicative functions and applications to residue-class distribution*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2024), 1–19.
- [12] Z. Kh. Rakhmonov, On the distribution of the values of Dirichlet characters and their applications, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 207 (1994), 286–296.
- [13] Triantafyllos Xylouris, On the least prime in an arithmetic progression and estimates for the zeros of Dirichlet Lfunctions, Acta Arith. **150** (2011), no. 1, 65–91.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, ALTGELD HALL, 1409 W. GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL, 61801, USA

Email address: jha33@illinois.edu